

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,704	04/05/2007	Lionel Marcon	403794	9140
23548 7590 10/07/2009 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3960		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	1, 150 20000 5500		3724	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCpatent@leydig.com Chgpatent@leydig.com Chgpatent1@leydig.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598.704 MARCON, LIONEL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit Clark F. Dexter 3724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

This application is abandoned in view of:
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>01 April 2009</u>. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of) but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the
(A proper legy index 3.7 Ver. 1.13 to a limit rejection consissionly of . (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
(c) ☐ A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:
In a telephone conversation with Mr. Jeffrey Wyand on October 1, 2009, he communicated that applicant is not pursuing further prosection on this application. // Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724
Petitions to revive under 37 CER 1.137(a) or (b) or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CER 1.181, should be promptly filed to

r-eutuons to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)